

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, WESTERN  
ZONE BENCH, AT PUNE**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 43 OF 2023

SAGAR KANTILAL DEVRE

.... APPLICANT

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

.... RESPONDENTS

SR NO	ANNE XURE	PARTICULARS	PAGE NOS.	
			From	To
1.		Index	1549	1550
2.		REPLY	1551	1557
3.		Affidavit in Support	1558	1559
4.	R-1	Copy of the judgment of Anand Gram Society Hansens Disease (Lesprosy) Vs. Dy. Conservator of Forest, Pune & Ors	1560	1565
5.	R-2	Copy of the petition of Ankur Prabhakar Patil and Anr Vs MCGM and Anr (Writ Petition No. 1650 of 2017)	1566	1579
6.	R-3	Copy of the certificate of the Registration dated 8 <sup>th</sup> March 1988	1580	1581
7.	R-4	Copy of letter dated 4 <sup>th</sup> February 2009 regarding the structure from the said MLA	-	1582
8.	R-5	Copy of the letter dated 17 <sup>th</sup> December 2024 along with the English translation	1583	1585
9.	R-6 Colly	Copy of the letter dated 24 <sup>th</sup> December 2024 along with the English translation	1586	1593

10.	R-7	Copy of the electricity bill	-	1594
11.	R-8	Copy of the order in the matter of Narender Bhardwaj v. M/s 108 Super Complex R.W.A. & Ors	1595	1602
		<b>Last Page</b>		<b>1602</b>

PUNE

DATE: 30/04/2026



ADVOCATE FOR RESPONDENT NO.24

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL,**  
**WESTERN ZONE BENCH, AT PUNE**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 43 OF 2023

SAGAR KANTILAL DEVRE

.... APPLICANT

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

.... RESPONDENTS

**REPLY ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT NO.24**  
**(Chandraseniya Kayastha Prabhu Samajonnati Mandal, Mulund)**

**MAY IT PLEASE THE HON'BLE TRIBUNAL:**

Reply for and on behalf of Respondent No.24 is as under:

1. At the outset, the present Respondent No.24 states that the statements, averments and prayers made by the Applicant are not admitted by the present Respondent No.24 and are denied in toto expressly so far as they are admitted herein. The Respondent No.24 submits that the present Application has been filed by the Applicant with a view to harass the present Respondent No.24 and is not maintainable before this Hon'ble Tribunal.
2. It is alleged in the Original Application that there is encroachment on reserved land by the Respondent No.24 and hence area to be taken back by the District Magistrate, Collector – Mumbai

Suburban District and Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai is sought by the Applicant. It is submitted that the Original Application filed by the Applicant is baseless, misconceived, and liable to be dismissed on the following grounds, which are without prejudice to one another and the fact that the present application is not maintainable under the provisions of the NGT Act, 2010. The Applicant has failed to substantiate the substantial question relating to the environment under the provisions of Section 2 (m) of the NGT Act, 2010.

3. The present Reply is being filed on behalf of this Respondent to the Original Application filed by the Applicant. The Respondent No.24 reserves its right to file an additional reply at a later stage if required.
4. The Respondent No.24 submits that the Applicant is neither affected by the activities of the present Respondent nor he is a aggrieved person and thus, the issue of locus of Applicant is to be decided by this Hon'ble Tribunal. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the Judgement of *'Anand Gram Society Hansens Disease (Lesprosy) Vs. Dy. Conservator of Forest, Pune &Ors* 'has clearly

held that, this Hon'ble Tribunal has to keep in mind bona fides and the locus of the person who has approached the Tribunal. The Respondent No.24 has states that the abovementioned structure is constructed in the year of 2008, and the Applicant has approached the Hon'ble Tribunal in the year of 2023. The Applicant has approached Hon'ble Tribunal after almost 15 years after considerable lapse of time and there is no explanation in the Application for this delay. Copy of the judgment of Anand Gram Society Hansens Disease (Leprosy) Vs. Dy. Conservator of Forest, Pune & Ors is annexed hereto and marked as **ANNEXURE-R-1**.

5. The Respondent No.24 submits that the one petition is filed before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay regarding the encroachments on land bearing Survey No.1320-C, Mulund, Mumbai with similar prayers which are being assailed before this Hon'ble Tribunal. The said petition is filed in the name of 'Ankur Prabhar Patil and Anr Vs MCGM and Anr' (Writ Petition No. 1650 of 2017), wherein the survey number of the present Respondent is mentioned. This amounts to constructive res-judicata and thus the present Application is not maintainable. Copy of the petition of

Ankur Prabhakar Patil and Anr Vs MCGM and Anr (Writ Petition No. 1650 of 2017) is annexed hereto and marked as **ANNEXURE-R-2**. The present Respondent submits that the Applicant is trying to re-litigate the issue which is pending for consideration before Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay since 2017.

6. The Respondent No.24 submits that the present Respondent is a charitable trust which is registered to the Charity Officer, Maharashtra Government. The present Respondent is registered on 8<sup>th</sup> March 1988 under the number of A/3653/MUMBAI. Copy of the certificate is annexed hereto and marked as **ANNEXURE-R-3**.
7. The present Respondent is into activities of social welfare, education, healthcare etc. The Respondent No.24 submits that the present Respondent is conducting its activities from the 'Swatantryasenani Dattaji Tamhane Sabhagruha' address- opposite Fire Station, Gavanpada, Mulund (E), Mumbai- 400081 which was built from the funds of the then Member of Legislative Assembly Sardar Tara Singh. The present Respondent further submits that the present Respondent does not have any other place to conduct its activities than the said structure. Copy of letter dated 4<sup>th</sup> February

2009 regarding the structure from the said MLA is annexed hereto and marked as ANNEXURE-R-4.

8. The Respondent No.24 submits that the Respondent No.4 had issued a letter to the present Respondent on 17<sup>th</sup> December 2024 regarding regularization of the said structure; to which the present Respondent had responded vide letter 24<sup>th</sup> December 2024 with annexing all required document. Copy of the letter dated 17<sup>th</sup> December 2024 along with the English translation is annexed hereto and marked as ANNEXURE-R-5. Copy of the letter dated 24<sup>th</sup> December 2024 along with the English translation is annexed hereto and marked as ANNEXURE-R-6. Colly
9. The Respondent No.24 submits that the present Respondent has a electric connection is its own name (number – 000094132819). Copy of the bill is annexed hereto and marked as ANNEXURE-R-7.
10. The Respondent No.24 submits that the present matter is related to regarding the alleged encroachment under the municipal laws; this Hon'ble Tribunal does not have jurisdiction over the municipal laws. The Supreme Court of India in its recent order in the matter

of 'Narender Bhardwaj v. M/s 108 Super Complex R.W.A. &Ors' held that this Hon'ble Tribunal does not have jurisdiction over the Municipal Laws and provisions of Town Planning Act. Copy of the order in the matter of Narender Bhardwaj v. M/s 108 Super Complex R.W.A. & Ors is annexed hereto and marked as **ANNEXURE-R-8**.

11. The Respondent No.24 submits that the present Respondent has not violated any provisions of Acts which are mentioned under the Schedule I. The present Respondent further submits that the present matter does not satisfy the needs of substantial question related to environment which is specified in the Section 2(m) of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010 and hence, Section 14 of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010 cannot be invoked.
12. It is most respectfully submitted that in view of the submissions made hereinabove, the Applicant is not entitled for any relief from this Hon'ble Tribunal. It is prayed that this Hon'ble Tribunal may kindly be pleased to dismiss this present application with heavy costs as the same is an abuse of the process of law. The present Application is not maintainable in eyes of law. It is therefore,

submitted that, nothing survives in the present Original Application, and the present Applicant needs to be dismissed with cost. The Respondent No.24 reserves its right to file a detailed reply if necessitated.

PUNE

DATE: 30 /04/2026



ADVOCATE FOR RESPONDENT NO.24

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, WESTERN  
ZONE BENCH, PUNE, AT PUNE**

Original Application No. 43 of 2023 (WZ)

**SAGAR KANTILAL DEVRE** .....APPLICANT

Versus

**STATE OF MAHARASHTRA**

**THROUGH PRINCIPAL SECRETARY**

**& ORS**

.....RESPONDENTS



**A F F I D A V I T**

I, Pradyumna Madhav Mokashi, Indian adult, Occupation: profession, residing at A 702, Divine Express CHSL, Veer Savarkar Road, Mulund East, Mumbai - 400 081 do hereby state on solemn affirmation as under: -

I am the Karyadhyaksha (Working President) of Chandraseniya Kayastha Prabhu Samajunoti Mandal, Mulund the Respondent No.24 herein and I am responsible for day-to-day administration of our Trust and I am also authorised signatory of our Trust. As such, I have gone through the memo of Reply and its Annexures being filed today. I find that the contents therein are true and correct to the best of my

knowledge and belief and which may be treated as part and parcel of the present affidavit.

WHATEVER STATED ABOVE is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

In witness whereof I have signed hereunder at Mumbai on 29 day of April 2026.

Solemnly affirmed at Mumbai )

On this 30<sup>th</sup> day of April 2026 )

*H. M. Karly*  
Deponent

Before Me



30 APR 2026

BEFORE ME

*H. B. S.*  
**HARKISHIN B. SHARMA**  
ADVOCATE & NOTARY  
1, OJAS BLDG., GROUND FLOOR  
NEAR RATION OFFICE S. N. ROAD  
MULUND (W) MUMBAI - 400 081

**NOTED & REGISTERED**  
Sr. No. 1610 / 2026



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL Nos.7313-7314 OF 2019ANAND GRAM SOCIETY HANSENS DISEASE (LEPROSY)  
REHABILITATION CENTRE

... APPELLANT

Versus

DY. CONSERVATOR OF FOREST, PUNE &amp; ORS.

... RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. Anand Gram Society Hansens Disease (Leprosy) Rehabilitation Centre is in appeal before us challenging judgment dated 26.05.2017 and order dated 23.01.2018, passed by the National Green Tribunal, Western Zone, Pune (for short, 'the Tribunal') in Application No. 115/2015 and OA No. 120/2017.

2. It may be mentioned that the first order dated 26.05.2017 was passed by the Tribunal in Application No. 115/2015 (WZ). The said order was passed at the instance of a resident of Pune, who claimed forest land was being destroyed due to encroachments. The application apparently referred to some survey numbers of different villages where encroachments like (i) construction of road; (ii) construction by Pricast India Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.; (iii) road made on the forest land; (iv) road for goods transportation and houses; and (v) stone mines for construction of RCC used for

Signature valid



Digital signature  
SATS  
Date: 13/11/2019  
Reason:

existing houses were existing.

3. Regardless of the categorical stand taken by some of the respondents that they have nothing to do with the forest land and

have not encroached upon it in any manner, the Tribunal, without assigning any reason, and without any discussion on the issues jumped to a conclusion and issued a sweeping direction to the (i) Principal Chief Secretary (Forest) (ii) Principal Chief Conservator (Forest), (iii) Chief Conservator of Forest, and (iv) Collector, Pune "to take steps to remove all the said encroachments within three weeks in accordance with law and reconstitute the environment". The other respondents were directed to extend full cooperation.

4. Thereafter, it seems that some proceedings akin to contempt proceedings, through O.A. No. 120/2017, were initiated and when the matter was taken up for hearing on 23.01.2018, one Dy. Conservator of Forest was present, who did not have complete instructions on facts, for which the case was passed over. Subsequently, a direction was issued to the Chief Conservator of Forest to conduct spot inspection/survey of the area along with District Collector and submit a report about the factual aspects of the structures built on the land in question. The Director General of Police was directed to provide police assistance to remove the structures etc.

5. The appellant is an NGO, which runs a Public Charitable Trust at Dudulgaon, Pune, Maharashtra and has been working for the welfare and rehabilitation of leprosy patients since 1965. The total area acquired by the appellant-Society is three acres with a 6 meter wide access road of 500 meters length. Since the appellant-Society has also been directed to remove the structures where leprosy patients are being treated for decades, the instant appeals were filed, in which we directed to maintain *status quo* at the

site, vide order dated 13.09.2019.

6. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and carefully perused the material placed on record.

7. We are constrained to highlight that the Tribunal has failed to observe the principles of natural justice and has passed sweeping directions without determining as to whether the subject area is a forest land and if so, whether it has been duly notified under the relevant statutes. Similarly, no opportunity was granted to the bonafide users of the site like the appellant-Society to establish as to whether the Leprosy Cure Centre was being run with or without prior permission of the concerned authorities.

8. The issue as to whether the strict parameters are liable to be relaxed in a case of extraordinary circumstances has not been considered by the Tribunal. What is the purpose of the Charitable Trust, whether it is being run for the welfare of the leprosy patients and providing them timely medical treatment, and whether the Leprosy Cure Centre also deserves to be uprooted from the site treating like any commercial venture, are several factors which the Tribunal ought to have kept in mind before issuing the omnibus directions.

9. The other danger which *quasi* Tribunals like, the National Green Tribunal, must keep in mind is the bonafides and locus of the person who approaches it. In a given case, if the applicant has not come to the Tribunal with clean hands and the entire object of his application is to grind an axe and to settle scores, it is the bounden duty of the Tribunal to accord a reasonable opportunity of being heard to the parties likely to be affected and give an

opportunity to enable them to demonstrate that the concerned applicant has not approached the Tribunal with bonafide intention.

10. In the case in hand, the applicant appears to have approached the Tribunal on one fine morning without even disclosing that the structure by the Society came to be raised way back in the year 1960. Unfortunately, the officers of the Forest Department also did not disclose the correct facts leading to immense hardship to the appellant-Society.

11. For the reasons aforesaid, we allow these appeals; set aside the impugned order of the Tribunal *qua* the appellant and or other similarly affected persons except that the Tribunal shall be at liberty to proceed against those who have encroached upon the forest land for commercial gains.

12. The applicant shall be at liberty to pursue his remedy against all such entities in accordance with law.

13. It is further directed that no order adverse to a party shall be passed without giving a reasonable opportunity of being heard.

14. As a result, the pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.  
(UJJAL BHUYAN)

NEW DELHI;  
DECEMBER 17, 2024.

ITEM NO.7

COURT NO.3

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGSCivil Appeal No(s).7313-7314/2019ANAND GRAM SOCIETY HANSENS DISEASE (LEPROSY)  
REHABILITATION CENTRE

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

DY. CONSERVATOR OF FOREST, PUNE &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 228300/2023 - APPLICATION UNDER ORDER V RULE 20 CPC FOR  
EFFECTING SERVICE THROUGH PUBLICATIONIA No. 133812/2019 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED  
JUDGMENT

IA No. 133813/2019 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 133815/2019 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL  
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

IA No. 133814/2019 - STAY APPLICATION)

Date : 17-12-2024 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UJJAL BHUYANFor Appellant(s) Mr. Sudhanshu S Chaudhari, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Mahesh Prakash Shinde, Adv.  
Mr. Vatsalya Vigya, AOR  
Ms. Pranjal Chapalgaonkar, Adv.  
Ms. Gautami Yadav, Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr. Adarsh Dubey, Adv.  
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.  
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AORMr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, AOR  
Mr. Brij Kishor Sah, Adv.  
Mr. Adarsh Kumar Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Vignesh Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya S. Jadhav, Adv.  
Mr. Ankit Sahu, Adv.  
Mr. Amit Kumar Gupta, Adv.Mr. Pai Amit, AOR  
Ms. Pankhuri Bhardwaj, Adv.  
Mr. Kushal Dube, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

1565

O R D E R

The appeals are allowed in terms of the signed order.

As a result, the pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)  
ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR

(PREETHI T.C.)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)



**ANNEXURE-R-2**

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORIGINAL SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2017  
DISTRICT : MUMBAI

IN THE MATTER OF PROVISIONS  
CONTAINED UNDER ARTICLE 226  
OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA,  
1950;

AND

IN THE MATTER OF PROVISIONS  
CONTAINED UNDER MUMBAI  
MUNICIPAL CORPORATION ACT,  
1888;

AND

IN THE MATTER OF WILLFUL  
INACTION ON THE PART OF THE  
CORPORATION AND COLLECTOR  
OFFICE IN NOT REMOVING THE  
UNAUTHORISED CONSTRUCTION  
FROM THE OPEN SPACES.

1. Ankur Patil s/o. Prabhakar Patil,  
Age – 36 years, Occ.: Self employed  
601, Om Siddhivinayak CHSL,  
Babanrao Kulkarni Road,  
Mulund (East), Mumbai – 400 081  
Mob. No. 9969678961.
2. Satish Prabhu s/o. Umesh Prabhu,  
Age - 57 years, Occ.: Self Employed  
A-6/7, Jeevan Nagar, Mithager Road,  
Mulund (East), Mumbai 400 081.

Versus

-----Petitioners



1. Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai,  
Through its Municipal Commissioner,  
MCGM Head Office,  
Mahapalika Marg, Mumbai CST,  
Mumbai – 400 001
  2. Mumbai Slum Improvement Board,  
Unit of MHADA,  
Grihanirman Bhavan,  
Kalanagar, Bandra (East),  
Mumbai – 400 051
  3. Collector, Mumbai Suburban District,  
10<sup>th</sup> floor, Administrative Building,  
Government Colony,  
Bandra (East), Mumbai -- 400 051
  4. Maharashtra Housing & Area Development Authority,  
Through its Vice President and  
Chief Executive Officer,  
Grihanirman Bhavan,  
Kalanagar, Bandra (East),  
Mumbai – 400 051
  5. State of Maharashtra  
(summons to be served upon Ld.  
Government Pleader appointed  
Under O. XXVII, R. 4 of Code of  
Civil Procedure, 1908)
- .... Respondents

TO,  
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE AND  
HER COMPANION JUSTICES OF THE  
HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE  
AT BOMBAY  
ORIGINAL SIDE  
AT MUMBAI

HUMBLE PETITION OF THE  
PETITIONERS:-

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:-

1. Feeling aggrieved and dissatisfied due to no action initiated by the Corporation, Collector, MHADA and the State Government for removal of encroachment, unauthorized Developer, construction done on open space reserved for playground and recreation ground in the final development plan by the Mumbai Municipal



Corporation on the land bearing CTS No. 1320 C of Village – Mulund, Taluka – Kurla, District – Mumbai Suburban, situated opposite Fire Brigade, Gavanpada, Mulund (East), Mumbai – 400 081, for which, written representation was given on 7<sup>th</sup> May, 2016, that the Petitioners is approaching this Hon'ble Court invoking its extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950. A true and correct copy of representation dated 7<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 given by this Petitioners with the above named

Exhibit-A

Respondents is EXHIBIT-A.

2. **STATUS OF THE PETITIONERS:-**

Petitioners are both Indian Inhabitants and permanent residents of Mumbai, having their corresponding address as mentioned hereinabove. Both the Petitioners reside in Mulund (Est), in the vicinity of the above said open space reserved for playground and recreation ground and as such directly and adversely affected by said unauthorized construction work and encroachment.

3. **STATUS OF THE RESPONDENTS:-**

- i. Respondent No.1 is the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai.
- ii. Respondent No.2 is the Mumbai Slum Improvement Board, Unit of MHADA.
- iii. Respondent No. 3 is Collector, Mumbai Suburban District.
- iv. Respondent No.4 is Maharashtra Housing & Area Development Authority [MHADA].
- v. Respondent No.5 is State of Maharashtra.

4. **BRIEF FACTS OF THE CASE:-**

- i. Petitioners enclosed copy of google map showing location of the above said open space reserved for public purposes as playground and recreation ground as marked in green colour boundary in the google map. The said copy of google map is enclosed herewith and marked as EXHIBIT-B. A true and correct



Exhibit-C

copy of Development Plan of the Corporation is **EXHIBIT-C**. The unauthorized construction work done by corresponding person in said open space is shown distinctly on said google map.

- ii. Following are the names of persons, institutions of the politician, who have encroached upon said open space and have done unauthorized construction work.

Sr.No	Institution Name	Politician Name
1	Library in the name of Rohitdas Patil Pratisthan	Charan Singh Sapra [MLC]
2	Swatntraya Sainik Datta Thamane Sabhagruha/ Chandraseniya Kayaastha Prabhu [CKP] Samaj Unnati Mandal.	Sardar TaraSingh [MLA]
3	Parampujya Shri. Namamaharaj Trust / Vaishnavi Chairatable & Medical Trust.	Sardar Tarasingh [MLA]
4	Library in the name of Rajaniganga Foundation.	Jyoti Mahendra Vaity [Ex- Corporator of MCGM].
5	Water Drinking Facility	Randas Kadam [MLC]
6	Varkhari Bhavan	Sardar Tarasingh [MLA]

- iii. The Petitioners state that as per Development Control Rules and also decision of the Corporation, open space reserved for playground and recreation ground cannot be given for any social welfare centre or for doing any construction activities. This can be seen from the letter dated 24<sup>th</sup> December, 2013 issued by the office of the Collector to the then Member of Parliament, Shri



Sanjay Dina Patil. A true and correct copy of letter dated 24<sup>th</sup> December, 2013 issued by the Collector, Mumbai Suburban District to Shri Sanjay Dina Patil denying grant of any part of above said open space land bearing CTS No. 1320 C is EXHIBIT-

Exhibit-D & D1

D. and its English Translated typed copy is EXHIBIT-D1. The Petitioners obtained information from which, it came to their knowledge that in April, 2015 the Deputy Collector (Enc/Rem), Mulund Division issued notice to those encroachments, hutments/ structures constructed by the politicians on the said open space land (CTA No. 1320C) and asked for submission of the documentary evidences showing existence of said unauthorized construction prior to eligibility cut of date. A true and correct copy of letter dated 5<sup>th</sup> May, 2015 issued by the Deputy Collector is

Exhibit-E & E1

EXHIBIT-E and its English Translated typed copy is EXHIBIT-E1.

By said letter, the Dy. Collector [E/R] - Mulund informed the Petitioner No. 1 that the land bearing CTS No. 1320 A, B, C, Village -Mulund, belongs to State Government. With permission of the Ld. Collector, Mumbai Suburban District, the said land was distributed to above stated society/ persons. However, how much land was allotted, to how many persons/ institutions/ in this regard, no information was available his office. Therefore, notices were issued on 7<sup>th</sup> March, 2015 to said persons, institution, but, no response has been received.

- iv. It is further informed in above letter that the land surveyor, Karkoon, Tehsildar, Kurla - Mulund. Similarly Talathi Circle officer and City Survey Officer, Mulund, land surveyor from his office has conducted survey and measurement of said land. However, their report was not obtained. After obtaining said report, the Dy. Collector [E/R] would submit the report with Ld. Collector, Mumbai Suburban District and after intimation given by Ld. Collector,



further action would be taken in the matter. Thus, above conduct of the Dy. Collector [E/R] – Mulund, Corporation clearly show that they are reluctant and lackadaisical in approach because of the heavy weight political persons and the institutions related with them involved in the said unauthorized construction work. Hence, neither the Deputy Collector [E/R] – Mulund nor the Collector nor the Planning Authority are taking any action in the matter but, making all possible efforts to avoid the same on one pretext or other.

- v. Upon further enquiry, the Petitioners also obtained one letter dated 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2010, whereby the Collector, Mumbai Suburban District allotted 300 sq. ft. land for social welfare center on request made by local MLA Shri Sardar Tara Singh. The letter dated 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2010 was issued by the Collector, Mumbai Suburban District to Executive Engineer of slum improvement board. The basic condition incorporated in para no. 2 of first page of this letter is that prior permission of the Corporation will be required for the purpose of erecting social welfare center from MLA fund of Shri Sardar Tara Singh. In said letter, vide condition no. 6, the condition has been incorporated by the Collector that for constructing the said social welfare center, the Development Control permission as per law is required to be taken from Mumbai Municipal Corporation. A true and correct copy of letter dated 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2010 issued by the Collector, recommendation of MLA Sardar Tara Singh addressed to Mumbai

Exhibit-F

Slum Improvement board is EXHIBIT-F and its English Translated

Exhibit-F1

typed copy is EXHIBIT-F1.

By yet another dated 2<sup>nd</sup> December, 2010, the Collector Mumbai Suburban District addressed one more letter to Mumbai Slum Improvement Board inter alia, stating that with prior permission of



the Corporation 300 sq. ft. area allotted for construction of library on the said land was granted with further condition was to be not to do any construction work without obtaining permission from Mumbai Municipal Corporation. A true and correct copy of letter dated 2<sup>nd</sup> December, 2010 issued by the Collector, Mumbai Slum

Exhibit-G Improvement Board is EXHIBIT-G and its English Translated

Exhibit-G1 typed copy is EXHIBIT-G1.

vii. By yet another letter dated 14<sup>th</sup> March, 2011, the Collector, Mumbai Suburban District, further stated for requirement of prior permission of the Corporation, on the condition obtaining prior permission on allotted land admeasuring 300 sq. ft. for arranging drinking water facility construction work of 300 sq. ft. land to be done from fund of MLS Shri. Ramdas Kadam. Vide condition no. 6, it was directed to first obtain development permission from the Corporation as per law prior to commencing any work. A true and correct copy of letter dated 14<sup>th</sup> March, 2011 issued by the Collector addressed to the Executive Engineer of Mumbai Slum Improvement Board for the purpose of drinking water work is

Exhibit-H & H1 EXHIBIT-H and its English Translated typed copy is EXHIBIT-H1.

viii. Upon knowledge of the above said unauthorized construction works done without obtaining any prior permission of the Corporation and subsequent in further Development Permission from the Corporation, the Petitioner No. 1 filed his RTI Application dated 11<sup>th</sup> January, 2016 seeking prior permissions and development permissions granted by the Corporation on above said land following construction work viz. –

Sr.No	Institution Name	Politician Name
1	Library in the name of Rohitdas Patil Pratisthan	Charan Singh Sapra [MLC]



2	Swatntraya Sainik Datta Thamane Sabhagruha/ Chandraseniya Kayaastha Prabhu [CKP] Samaj Unnati Mandal.	Sardar TaraSingh [MLA]
3	Parampujya Shri. Namamaharaj Trust / Vaishnavi Chairatable & Medical Trust.	Sardar Tarasingh [MLA]
4	Library in the name of Rajaniganga Foundation.	Jyoti Mahendra Vaity [Ex- Corporator of MCGM].
5	Water Drinking Facility	Randas Kadam [MLC]
6	Varkhari Bhavan	Sardar Tarasingh [MLA]

A true and correct copy of RTI Application dated 11<sup>th</sup> January, 2016 issued by the Petitioner No. 1 with the Corporation is


Exhibit-I & I-1

**EXHIBIT-I** and its English Translated typed copy is **EXHIBIT-I -1**.

- ix. By letter dated 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2016 the Corporation - 'T' Ward [Development and Planning] informed that on the land bearing CTS no. 1320 C, Mulund (East), reserved for open space viz. recreation ground no permission was granted for the above stated construction work as stated in the RTI Application dated 11<sup>th</sup> January, 2016. The above said Application was also forwarded before the State Public Information Officer, Maintenance, if any, information was available there. A true and correct copy of reply dated 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2016 issued by the State Public Information

Officer of the Mumbai Municipal Corporation is **EXHIBIT-J** and its English Translated typed copy is **EXHIBIT-J1**.

By letter dated 22<sup>nd</sup> February, 2016, the State Public Information Officer, Maintenance Department of 'T' Ward also informed that



on CTS No. 1320 C, Mulund (East), no permission was granted from his office/ Accordingly RTI Application dated 25.01.2016 was disposed of. However, surprisingly despite having information of unauthorized construction work, Corporation 'T' ward did not initiate any action for removal of the same. A true and correct copy of letter dated 22<sup>nd</sup> February, 2016 issued by Assistant

Exhibit-K

Engineer (Maintenance)- T Ward is EXHIBIT-K and its English

Exhibit-K1

Translated typed copy is EXHIBIT-K1.

- xi. By letter dated 7<sup>th</sup> April, 2016, the Assistant Commissioner, T Ward replied to the Petitioners that because the land bearing CTS No. 1320 C, Village – Mulund, Mumbai Suburban District belong to State Government, therefore, for removal of encroachment on said land pertains to Collector, Mumbai Suburban District, therefore, the Petitioners was directed to follow up with the office of the Collector, Mumbai Suburban District. The Petitioners understand that this letter dated 7<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 is given contrary to the provisions contained u/s. 53 (1), 55 of the MR&TP Act, 1966 as well as Section 314 of the Mumbai Municipal Corporation Act, 1888, whereby, the Corporation is under mandatory statutory obligation for removal of any unauthorized construction work situated on any land particularly on public space. A true and correct copy of letter dated 7<sup>th</sup> April, 2016, the Assistant

Exhibit-L

Commissioner, T Ward is EXHIBIT-L and its English Translated

Exhibit-L1

typed copy is EXHIBIT-L1.

- xii. The Petitioner by his letters dated 15<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 and 22<sup>nd</sup> April, 2016, applied before the Deputy Collector (Enc/Rem), - Mulund and Tehsildar (Mulund) that by complaint dated 15<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 and 22<sup>nd</sup> April, 2016, the Petitioners have requested for removal of unauthorized construction work done on land bearing CTS No. 1320 C of Village – Mulund, Taluka - Kurla owned by the State



Government, which is reserved for open space in the final development plan of the Corporation for which, no permission has been given. Therefore, the Deputy Collector [Enc/Rem] - Mulund was directed to take action as per rules and to submit report. A true and correct copy of letter dated 20<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 issued by the Collector to Deputy Collector (Enc/Rem), - Mulund and the

Exhibit-M

Tehsildar – Kurla – Mulund is EXHIBIT:M and its English

Exhibit-M1


Translated typed copy is EXHIBIT-M 1.

- xiii. However, despite this letter issued by the Collector, no action came to be taken by the concerned officers. Hence, the Petitioners is filing present Writ Petition seeking direction and Writ of *Mandamus* of this Hon'ble Court directing to the Deputy Collector (Enc/Rem), - Mulund Division and the Designated Officer – T Ward of the Corporation for forthwith remove all said unauthorized construction work in a reasonable time bound manner and also to initiate criminal prosecution against the said persons doing unauthorized construction work as provided u/s. 314 of the MMC Act, 1888 or by the Deputy Collector (Enc/Rem), - Mulund Division and to make the said land available for the public purpose of playground and recreation ground as per the final development plan.
- xiv. That, the Petitioners have made out case in their favour. Therefore, the Petitioners are entitled for necessary ad-interim, interim reliefs by way of forthwith discontinuation of the use of the said unauthorized construction by the concerned occupiers mainly affiliated with political persons. Therefore, the Petitioners respectfully pray before this Hon'ble Court that as and by way of Ad-interim, interim reliefs the electricity, water connection, internet connection, telephone connections etc. of said unauthorized constructions are required to be removed forthwith by the Deputy



Collector (Enc/Rem), Mulund Division and the Corporation through its designated officer – T ward and by restraining the use of said unauthorized structures in any manner till final adjudication of present writ Petition. Balance of convenience lies heavy in favour of this Petitioners and against the Respondents.


Hence, this Writ Petition.



5. **CONCLUDING PARAGRAPHS:-**

- (i) Proper Court fee of Rs. \_\_\_\_\_ is paid.
- (ii) The Petitioners have not filed any other Petition either before this Hon'ble Court or before the Supreme Court of India on this cause of action.
- (iii) The Petitioners have no other alternative, efficacious remedy available to them except to approach this Hon'ble Court under the provisions of Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950.
- (iv) That, this Hon'ble Court possesses jurisdiction to entertain and adjudicate this Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950.
- (v) The present Petition is filed within limitation and without any delay, laches and negligence from the part of these Petitioners. The present Petition is not barred under any law.
- (vi) Petitioners seek leave of this Hon'ble Court to add, amend and alter the Memo of this Petition as and when required.
- (vii) The Petitioners shall rely upon the list of documents enclosed herewith.
- (viii) The Petitioners have not served with any Caveat in the matter and as such submit that no Caveat is filed in the matter.

6. Therefore, the Petitioners respectfully pray before this Hon'ble Court as under:-



-: PRAYERS :-


- A) That, this Hon'ble Court be pleased to issue Writ of *Mandamur* and/or any other Writ, Order exercising Powers under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950 directing the Respondent Corporation and Deputy Collector [Enc/Rem] – Mulund remove all unauthorized construction work on land bearing 1320 C of Village-Mulund, Taluka – Kurla, Mumbai Suburban District in a reasonable time bound manner and to submit such report on record of this Hon'ble Court, and with further direction to develop the said land for public purpose of open space as playground and recreation ground as provided in the final development plan of the Mumbai Municipal Corporation;
- B) Pending the hearing and final disposal of this Petition, this Hon'ble Court be pleased to direct the Deputy Collector (Enc/Rem), Mulund Division and the well as Corporation through its Designated Officers – T Ward MCGM to take immediate steps for forthwith discontinuation of the corresponding use of all the unauthorized construction situated on the above said open space land bearing CTS No. 1320 C of Village – Mulund, Taluka – Kurla, Mumbai Suburban District area occupied by the encroachers unauthorized occupiers by disconnecting the electricity, water connection, telephone connection etc. as and by way of ad-interim, interim reliefs;
- C) Ad-interim, interim reliefs in terms of prayer clause (B) hereinabove may be granted in favour of the Petitioners and against the Respondents;
- D) Cost of this Petition be provided for;
- E) And for such further orders as may deem fit and proper be granted in favour of the Petitioners and against the Respondents;



AND FOR SUCH ACTS OF KINDNESS, THE PETITIONERS SHALL  
DUTY BOUND EVER PRAY.

MUMBAI

DATE :



ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONERS

PETITIONER NO. 1



PETITIONER NO. 2



VERIFICATION

I, Ankur Prabhakar Patil the Petitioner No.1 abovenemd herein above do hereby states on solemn affirmation that the facts stated hereinabove are true to our corresponding knowledge whereas, the legal submission are made on legal advise, which I believe to be true,

Solemnly affirmed,

Mumbai

Date 12-5-2017

Ankur P. Patil

DEPONENT

Identified, explained and interpreted by,

*[Signature]*



ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONERS

Before Me,

*[Signature]*

**M. I. E. MANSURI**  
Advocate High Court  
Notary (Govt. of India)  
57/4, Mutton Street, Burhani Manzil,  
Ground Floor, Mumbai-400 042.

Notary Reg. No.. 76  
Sl. No.. 317  
Date: 12-5-2017





Nº

नोंवणीचे प्रमाणपत्र

ANNEXURE-R-3

माद्वारे प्रमाणपत्र देण्यात येते की, खाली वर्णन केलेली सावंजनिक विश्वस्त व्यवस्था ही आज, मुंबई सावंजनिक

विश्वस्त व्यवस्था अधिनियम, १९५० (राज १९५० चा मुंबई अधिनियम क्रमांक २९) याअन्वये **बृहज्मुंबई**

**विभाग - मुंबई** येथील सावंजनिक विश्वस्त व्यवस्था नोंदणी कार्यालयत योग्य रीतीने नोंदण्यात आली आहे.

सावंजनिक विश्वस्त व्यवस्थेचे नाव " **चंद्रसेनिय कायस्थ प्रभु** "

**समाजोन्नती मंडळ मुंबई** "

सावंजनिक विश्वस्थांच्या नोंदणी पुस्तकातील क्रमांक **अ ३७५३ (मुंबई)**

**श्री. श्रावचंद्र गणेश प्रधान** यांचे प्रमाणपत्र दिले.

आज दिनांक **८ माहे मार्च**

**१९८८** रोजी माझ्या सहीनिशी दिले.



सही .....

**प. व. शिंदेकर**

हदा .. **सहायक कमिश्नर** .....

**बृहज् मुंबई विभाग, मुंबई**



**English Translation-R-3**

Certificate of Registration

It is hereby certified that the below described Public Trust organization is today registered as a Public Trust under the Mumbai Public Trust Act, 1950 (Year 1950 Mumbai Act No.29) in the Public Trust Registration office of Greater Mumbai Region - Mumbai

Name of the Public Trust Organization “**Chandraseniya Kayastha Prabhu Samajunnotti Mandal, Mulund**”

Registration number in the book of Public Trust Organization **A 3753 (Mumbai)**  
**Shri Bhalchandra Ganesh Pradhan** is handed over the certificate.

Handed over on **8 March 1988** under my signature


sd/-

(P.N. Bhosekar)

Asst. Charity Commissioner  
Greater Mumbai Region - Mumbai

Seal of Charity Commissioner  
Maharashtra State





महाराष्ट्र विधानसभा



सरदार तारा सिंह  
आमदार  
Sardar Tara Singh  
MLA

ANNEXURE-R-4

Date : 04.02.2009

To,  
The Assistant Engineer,  
Water Works,  
T-Ward, Mulund (W),  
MUMBAI.

Sub : New Water connection to Dattaji Tamhane Sabhagrih, Opp. Fire  
Brigade, Gavanpada, Mulund (E), Mumbai-400081.

Dear Sir,

I would like to inform you that the above Sabhagrih was constructed by Mhada from my MLA Fund last year. Now I have handed over the said structure to a registered NGO, known as C.K.P. Samajonnati Mandal, Mulund (E), Mumbai.

The above NGO is interested in getting ~~you~~ new water connection to the above centre. Therefore I would request you to kindly co-operate and do the needful help to the said NGO.

Please do the needful.

Thanking you,

Yours truly,

SARDAR TARA SINGH



मुख्य भांडुप कार्यालय : सरदार तारा सिंह जन संपर्क कार्यालय, एल. बी. एस. मार्ग, भांडुप (प.), मुंबई - ४०० ०७८.

मुंबई कार्यालय : १७, आनंद नगर, जय शंकर याज्ञिक मार्ग, जी. टी. बी. नगर, मुंबई - ४०० ०३७.

दूरध्वनी : भांडुप : २५६६ ८५१२, २५६६ ८०४७ • जी. टी. बी. नगर : २४०१ ३४०३ फॅक्स : २४०१ ५४५९

E-mail : srajneet@hotmail.com Website : www.sardartarasingh.com

ANNEXURE-R-5

मुंबई झोपडपट्टी सुधार मंडळ, मुंबई  
(म्हाडाचा घटक)  
MUMBAI SLUM IMPROVEMENT  
BOARD, MUMBAI  
(A MHADA UNIT)



जा.क्र.का.अ.(पूर्व)/मुं.झो.सु.मं./ 838 /२०२४  
दिनांक:-

17 DEC 2024

प्रति,  
अध्यक्ष / सचिव

- १) भंडारी सहाय्यक मंडळ (मुलूंड)  
गव्हाणपाडा, मुलूंड (पूर्व), मुंबई-४०००८१.
- २) श्री हनुमान प्रासादिक वारकरी सांप्रदायीक भजणी मंडळी,  
मुलूंड, मुंबई.
- ३) संपत्श्रृंगी महिला मंडळ.
- ४) वैष्णव चॅरीटेबल व मेडिकल ट्रस्ट
- ५) धात्रसेनीय कायस्थ प्रभु समाजोन्नती मंडळ  
( दत्ताजी ताम्हाणे सभागृह )
- ७) कै.रोहिदास पाटील प्रतिष्ठान (वाचनालय )

विषय:- मा.राष्ट्रीय हरीत लवाद खंडपीठ, पुणे येथील याचिका क्र.४३/२०२३(WZ)  
श्री.सागर कांतीलाल देवरे विरुद्ध महाराष्ट्र शासन व इतर.

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयी आपणांस कळविण्यात येते की, मा.राष्ट्रीय हरीत लवाद खंडपीठ, पुणे येथील याचिका क्र.४३/२०२३(WZ) दाखला झालेली आहे. सदर प्रकरणाची सुनावणी सुरु असून आपले मंडळाची वास्तू, समाजकल्याण केंद्र / वाचनालय / पाणपोई / ग्रंथालय / इतर ) काम तात्कालीन आमदार / खासदार यांनी सुचविल्यानुसार व त्यांचे निधी मधून पूर्ण करण्यात आली असल्याचे आढळून येत आहेत. तरी आपले मंडळाचे वास्तू बाबतचे आपले मंडळ / संस्थेकडे उपलब्ध सर्व कागदपत्रे (प्रशासकीय मान्यता, कार्यादेश, हस्तांतरण पत्र, ना हरकत प्रमाणपत्र, ताबा पत्र व इतर) विनाविलंब कार्यकारी अभियंता (पूर्व), मुंबई झोपडपट्टी सुधार मंडळ, कक्ष क्र.५३६, गृहनिर्माण भवन, वांद्रे (पूर्व), मुंबई या कार्यालयात जमा / सादर करावित, जेणेकरून आपल्या मंडळाची वास्तू नियमितीकरण करणेबाबतचा प्रस्ताव बृहन्मुंबई महानगरपालिकेकडे सादर करणे शक्य होईल. तसेच या कार्यालयातर्फे मे.ऐलोरा प्रोजेक्ट कन्सलटनट यांची सादर कामे / वास्तू नियमितीकरणाचे प्रस्ताव बृहन्मुंबई महानगरपालिकेकडे सादर करणेकरीता नेमणूक केली आहे.

तरी मे.ऐलोरा प्रोजेक्ट कन्सलटनट यांना मोजणी करणे व नकाशे तयार करणे व आवश्यक कागदपत्र देऊन सहकार्य करावे, हि विनंती.

आपला विश्वासू,

कार्यकारी अभियंता (पूर्व)

**English Translation-R-5**

Mumbai Slum Improvement  
Board, Mumbai  
(A MHADA Unit)

MHADA

Outward No. E.O.(E)M.S.I.B./8838/2024

Date 17<sup>th</sup> Dec. 2024

To

- 1) Bhandari Sahayyak Mandal (Mulund)  
Ganpada, Mulund (E), Mumbai – 400 081
- 2) Shri Hanuman Prasadik Varkari Sampradayik Bhagani Mandal,  
Mulund, Mumbai
- 3) Saptashrunji Mahila Mandal,
- 4) Vaishnav Charitable and Medical Trust
- 5) Chandraseniya Kayastha Prabhu Samajunnotti Mandal  
(Dattaji Tamhane Sabhagruha)
- 6) Late Rohidas Patil Pratishthan (Vachanalay)

Sub : Petition No. 43/2023 (WZ) before Hon'ble  
National Green Tribunal Bench, Pune  
Shri Sagar Kantilal Devre V/s State of Mah. & Ors

Dear Sir,

With respect to the above subject, you are being informed that Petition No. 43 of 2024 (WZ) is filed before the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal Bench, Pune. The hearing in the said case is going on and it is observed that the building of your organization / social service centre / reading room / drinking water stall / library / other work has been completed from the funds of the then MLA / MP as per his recommendation. In this regard kindly handover / submit all the documents (administrative approval, work order, transfer order, no objection certificate, possession letter, etc.) available with your organization / institution at the earliest to Executive Engineer (E), Mumbai Slum Improvement Board, Room No. 536,

# 1585

Grihanirman Bhavan, Bandra (E)so that it will be possible to submit proposal to Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai for the regularization of the building of your organization. This office has appointed M/s. Ellora Project Consultant for the work of regularization of building.

You are requested to cooperate with M/s. Ellora Project Consultants for carrying out measurement for preparing maps with necessary documents.

Yours Sincerely

sd/-

Executive Engineer (E)

Mumbai Slum Improvement Board





# चांद्रसेनीय कायस्थ प्रभु समाजोन्नती मंडळ, मुलुंड.

(नोंदणी क्रमांक अ. ३७५३ (मुंबई) ८ मार्च १९८८) स्थापना १९७१

“ दत्ताजी ताम्हणे सभागृह ”

अग्निशमन केंद्रासमोर, गवाणपाडा मार्ग, मुलुंड (पूर्व), मुंबई - ४०० ०८१. त्रिकोण क्र. ०९/२०२४

दिनांक : २४/१२/२०२४

## ANNEXURE-R-6-Colly

मा. कार्यकारी अभियंता [ पूर्व ], साहेब,

मुंबई झोपडपट्टी सुधार मंडळ,

कक्ष क्रमांक, ५३६, गृहनिर्माण भवन,

वांद्रे [ पूर्व ] मुंबई ४०००५३

२४/१२/२०२४  
Clerk to  
Executive Engineer (East)  
M. S. E. S., Mumbai


विषय :- १७ डिसेंबर २०२४ च्या जा. क्र. का. अ. ( पूर्व ) / मुं. झो. सु. मं / ८८३८ / २०२४, च्या आपण पाठवलेल्या पत्रासंबंधी.

महोदय,

मा. राष्ट्रीय हरित लवाद खंडपीठ, पुणे येथील याचिका क्र. ४३/२०२३ ( WZ ), श्री. सागर कांतीलाल देवरे, विरुद्ध महाराष्ट्र शासन व इतर, ह्या संदर्भात आपले पत्र आम्हाला मिळाले, त्यानुसार आपणास आम्ही पुढीलप्रमाणे खुलासा आणि विनंती अर्ज करित आहोत ,

आमची चांद्रसेनीय कायस्थ प्रभु समाजोन्नती मंडळ , मुलुंड , [ ट्रस्ट ] हि संस्था मा.धर्मादाय आयुक्त , महाराष्ट्र शासन, यांच्याकडे नोंदणी कृत झालेली असून सदर संस्थेचा नोंदणी क्रमांक ए / ३७५३ / मुंबई असा आहे. उपरोक्त संस्था, १९८८ साली नोंदणीकृत झाली असून सदर संस्थेचा उद्देश हा सामाजिक, शैक्षणिक, वैद्यकीय, सांस्कृतिक इ. असून गेले ३७ वर्षे हि संस्था लोकउपयोगी उपक्रम राबवत आहे,

उपरोक्त संस्थेला कोणतेही आर्थिक उत्पनाचा स्रोत नसून सगळे उपक्रम हे आजपर्यंत लोकांच्या आर्थिक साहाय्यावर राबवले जात आहेत, तसेच संस्थेला स्थिर पक्के कार्यालय किंवा सभागृह नाही, पण संस्थेचे सक्रिय कार्य बघून तत्कालीन आमदार कैलासवासी मा. तारासिंग साहेबानी त्यांच्या आमदार निधीतून संबंधित विभागांच्या परवानगीने आम्हाला सध्या आम्ही वापरात असलेली जागा . म्हणजे स्वतंत्र सेनानी दत्ताजी ताम्हणे सभागृह, अग्निशमन केंद्राच्या समोर, गवाणपाडा, मुलुंड ( पूर्व ) मुंबई ४०००८१ , चांद्रसेनीय कायस्थ प्रभु समाजोन्नती मंडळ , मुलुंड , [ ट्रस्ट ], ह्या ट्रस्टला बांधून दिली, वास्तविक पाहता ती जागा खूपच लहान असून ते बांधकाम हे तेवढे मजबूत नव्हते पण बुडत्याला काडीचा आधार, ह्या तत्वांनी आम्ही तिथे कार्यरत झालो, पावसाळ्यात गळती, भिंतीतून पाणी येणे, चिखल, डासांचा उपद्रव ह्या





# चांद्रसेनीय कायस्थ प्रभु समाजोन्नती मंडळ, मुलुंड.

(नोंदणी क्रमांक अ. ३७५३ (मुंबई) ८ मार्च १९८८) स्थापना १९७९

“ दत्ताजी ताम्हणे सभागृह ”

अग्निशमन केंद्रासमोर, गवाणपाडा मार्ग, मुलुंड (पूर्व), मुंबई - ४०० ०८९.

दिनांक :

- २ -

परिस्थितीत संस्थेनी आपली समाजसेवा चालूच ठेवली, ह्या पावसाच्या पाण्याच्या प्रादुर्भावामुळे खूपसे महत्वाचे कागदपत्रे आमची निष्काम झाली,

आपण आमची जागा नियमित करून देण्याकरिता आम्हाला सहकार्य करत आहेत त्याबद्दल आम्ही आपले ऋणी आहोत,

जी काही संबंधित कागदपत्रे वाचरी आहेत ती आम्ही आपणास सादर करित आहोत ती अर्जासोबत जोडली आहेत तरी कृपा स्वीकार करून आम्हाला आमच्या चांद्रसेनीय कायस्थ प्रभु समाजोन्नती मंडळ, मुलुंड, [ ट्रस्ट],च्या ह्या वास्तू कायदेशीर आणि नियमित करून द्यावी अशी आम्ही आपणास नम्र विनंती करत आहोत,

आपण आमच्या संस्थेची चौकशी करून शक्य असेल तर एखादा मोकळा भूखंड प्रदान केलात तर आम्ही नक्कीच समाजउपयोगासाठी एक मोठ्या सभागृहाची वास्तु उभी करून समाजाचे ऋण फेडण्याचा प्रयत्न करू असे आम्ही आपणास आश्वासन देतो,

आमच्या विनंतीचा आपण सहानुभूतीपूर्वक विचार करून आमच्या अपेक्षा पूर्ण करण्याचा प्रयत्न कराल अशी आम्ही आशा करतो, धन्यवाद,

आपले कृपाभिलाषी,

श्री. चंद्रशेखर मधुकर देशमुख, अध्यक्ष.

श्री. केतन गुते, कार्यवाह.

श्री. निखिलचंद्र गडकरी, कोषाध्यक्ष.



**English Translation -R-6**

Mumbai Slum Improvement  
Board, Mumbai  
(A MHADA Unit)

MHADA

Outward No. E.O.(E)M.S.I.B./8838/2024  
Date 17<sup>th</sup> Dec. 2024

To

- 1) Bhandari Sahayyak Mandal (Mulund)  
Ganpada, Mulund (E), Mumbai – 400 081
- 2) Shri Hanuman Prasadik Varkari Sampradayik Bhagani Mandal,  
Mulund, Mumbai
- 3) Saptashrungi Mahila Mandal,
- 4) Vaishnav Charitable and Medical Trust
- 5) Chandraseniya Kayastha Prabhu Samajunnotti Mandal  
(Dattaji Tamhane Sabhagruha)
- 6) Late Rohidas Patil Pratishthan (Vachanalay)

Sub : Petition No. 43/2023 (WZ) before Hon'ble  
National Green Tribunal Bench, Pune  
Shri Sagar Kantilal Devre V/s State of Mah. & Ors

Dear Sir,

With respect to the above subject, you are being informed that Petition No. 43 of 2024 (WZ) is filed before the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal Bench, Pune. The hearing in the said case is going on and it is observed that the building of your organization / social service centre / reading room / drinking water stall / library / other work has been completed from the funds of the then MLA / MP as per his recommendation. In this regard kindly handover / submit all the documents (administrative approval, work order, transfer order, no objection certificate, possession letter, etc.) available with your organization / institution at the earliest to Executive Engineer (E), Mumbai Slum Improvement Board, Room No. 536,

Grihanirman Bhavan, Bandra (E)so that it will be possible to submit proposal to Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai for the regularization of the building of your organization. This office has appointed M/s. Ellora Project Consultant for the work of regularization of building.

You are requested to cooperate with M/s. Ellora Project Consultants for carrying out measurement for preparing maps with necessary documents.

Yours Sincerely

sd/-

Executive Engineer (E)  
Mumbai Slum Improvement Board

www.loksatta.com

मुंबई, पुणे, नागपूर, अहमदनगर, औरंगाबाद आणि दिल्ली येथील प्रसिद्ध

Reg. No. MH/MR/SOUTH

# लोकसत्ता



२.५० रुपये • मुंबई, शुक्रवार, ३१ ऑक्टोबर २००८ • वर्ष ६१ • अंक २३६ • पृष्ठे १२ + तुतान्त • शहर आवृत्ती ★

## चांद्रसेनीय कायस्थ प्रभू समाजोन्नती मंडळास वास्तू अर्पण


प्रतिनिधी : मुलुंड येथील चांद्रसेनीय कायस्थ प्रभू समाजोन्नती मंडळास त्यांच्या कार्यालयीन कामकाजासाठी वास्तू अर्पण करण्यात आली आहे. त्या वास्तूला जेष्ठ स्वातंत्र सेनानी दत्ताजी ताम्हणें यांचे नाव देण्यात आले. या सोहळ्याच्या वेळी प्रमुख पाहुणे म्हणून निवृत्त न्यायाधीश व माजी लोकपाल विजय दिव्यशीस उपस्थित होते. ही

वास्तू आमदार तारासिंह आणि माजी पंचमंडणीस प्रकाश गोंयाकर यांच्या प्रयत्नात मिळाली असल्याचे आभार ज्ञानली मोहिखा मंडळ समिती दिल्लीच्या अजकाल मंडळ आहे. या अर्पणा तारासिंह आणि शिवाधरे यांच्या समवेत नगरसेविका ज्योती महेंद्र वेती तसेच मुलुंड व ठाण्यातील मान्यवर उपस्थित होते.









महाराष्ट्र विधानसभा



सरदार तारा सिंह  
आमदार  
Sardar Tara Singh  
MLA

Date : 04.02.2009

To,  
The Executive Engineer,  
M.S.E.D. Ltd.,  
Mulund (W),  
MUMBAI.

Sub : New Electric Connection to Wattaji Tamhane Sabhagrih,  
Opp. Fire Brigade, Gavanpada, Mulund (E), Mumbai.

Dear Sir,

I would like to inform you that the above Sabhagrih was constructed by Mhada from my MLA Fund last year. Now I have handed over the said structure to a registered NGO, known as C.K.P. Samajonnati Mandal, Mulund.

The above NGO is interested in getting your Power connection to the above centre. Therefore, I would request you to kindly co-operate and do the needful help to the said NGO.

Please do the needful.

Thanking you.

Yours truly,

SARDAR TARA SINGH



मुख्य भांडुप कार्यालय : सरदार तारा सिंह जन संपर्क कार्यालय, एल. बी. एस. मार्ग, भांडुप (प.), मुंबई - ४०० ०७८.

मुंबई कार्यालय : १७, आनंद नगर, जय शंकर याज्ञिक मार्ग, जी. टी. बी. नगर, मुंबई - ४०० ०३७.

दूरध्वनी : भांडुप : २५६६ ८५१२, २५६६ ८००७ • जी. टी. बी. नगर : २४०१ ३४०३ फॅक्स : २४०१ ५४५९

## ANNEXURE-R-7

महाराष्ट्र स्टेट इलेक्ट्रिसिटी डिस्ट्रीब्यूशन कंपनी लि.  
वीज आकार देयक

ऑन लाईन बिल पेमेंट सुविधा कंपनीच्या संकेत स्थळावर उपलब्ध आहे.  
अधिक माहितीसाठी [www.mahadiscom.in](http://www.mahadiscom.in) या संकेत स्थळावर संपर्क साधावा.

वीज आकार देयकाचा महिना जुन-२०११

बिलिंग युनिट : 4705 / NEELAMNAGAR sdn / Ph.No. 25642361

ग्राहक क्रमांक : 000094132819 जुना ग्राहक क्र.

नाव एम/एस. दत्ताजी तामहने सभागृह  
पत्ता सी.के.पी.समाजोन्नति मंडल फायरब्रिगेड स्टेशन, मु / मुलुंड (इ) - 400081

M/S. DATTAJI TAMHANE SABHAGRUH


C.K.P.SAMAJONNATI MANDAL OPP.FIREBRIGADE STATION,MU / MULUND (E) - 400081

पी.सी/चक्र + मार्ग-क्रम 7/17/9018/0173 दर संकेत 04/LT II Comm 1Phase 20 KW

डी.टी.सी. क्र. 3554232 संलग्न भार 0.50 KW वीज शुल्क संकेत : 6

पोल नं. C मंजूर भार 0.50 KW पुरवठा तारीख : 07/03/09

दर क्रमांक	चालू रिडिंग	मागील रिडिंग	गुणक अन्वय	युनिट	समा. युनिट	एकूण वीज वापर
01/02/09	100	100	1	0	0	0



मागील वीज वापर

महिना युनिट

MAY-11	55
APR-11	71
MAR-11	124
FEB-11	71
JAN-11	52
DEC-10	70
NOV-10	96
OCT-10	73
SEP-10	68
AUG-10	21
JUL-10	0

कॉल सेंटर टोल फ्री नं.

18002333435

महानगरपालिका क्षेत्रासाठी

ग्राहक निवारण मंचाचा पत्ता MIDYUT BHAVAN, L B S MARG, BIRANDUR / Phone No. 9930269397

1 महिन्याचे देयक/ रिलायबिलिटी चार्ज रु.32.24/ तत्पर देयक भरणा शुट रु.7.16/ मागील तत्पर देयक भरणा शुट समायोजन रु.-4.50/ अतिरिक्त आकार MERC केस रु. 69/2010 प्रमाणे /अतिरिक्त रिलायबिलिटी चार्ज समायोजन रु.-0.10/ FCA RATE रु. : 1-200 Units-0.3009/above 200 Units-0.546/

विशेष संदेश

महावितरण

म. V 2.2.11  
4/333

For any additional information please contact e-mail देयक दिनांक 24/06/11

देयक क्र. 3332

देयक कालावधी	23/05/11 पासून	22/06/11 पर्यंत	रु.	चैते
अंतिम तारीख	08/07/11		840.00	
या तारखेपर्यंत भरल्यास	04/07/11		330.00	
या तारखेनंतर भरल्यास	08/07/11			

22/06/11 या तारखेनंतर देयक भरले असल्यास निव्वळ रक्कम स्विकारण्यास पावती दाखवावी

विवरण

स्थिर आकार	150.00
वीज आकार	468.00
वीज शुल्क	116.21
इंधन समायोजन आकार	39.61
अतिरिक्त पुरवठा आकार	0.00
	0.00
	0.00
वीज विक्री कर	8.32
वजा सरासरी देयकाची रक्कम	0.00
व्याज	0.00
कॅपेसिटी रेंट	
इतर आकार	32.24
अतिरिक्त आकार	26.00
एकूण	340.38
निव्वळ थकबाकी / जमा	0.15
समायोजित रक्कम	-4.60
व्याजाची थकबाकी	0.00

एकूण थकबाकी / जमा 4.45  
देयकाची निव्वळ रक्कम 335.93

पूर्णांक देयक 340.90

मागील पावतीचा दिनांक 09/06/11 530.00

सुरक्षा ठेव जमा \*\*\*1000 विलंब आकार रु.16.81

महाराष्ट्र स्टेट इलेक्ट्रिसिटी डिस्ट्रीब्यूशन कंपनी लि.  
नवी दिल्ली  
मुख्य कार्यालय (महाराष्ट्र)

DO YOU KNOW?

1 Lakh Envelopes of Handmade Paper Save 500 Trees.

Manufacturing Handmade Papers Since 1940.

We Make Drawing Papers, White Water Mark Papers, Harberium materials, Envelopes, Paper Bags, Files, Greeting Cards, Drawing papers for Permanent Land Record, Folders, Planners & Dairies.



HANDMADE PAPER INSTITUTE, PUNE.

K.B.JOSHI ROAD, SHIVAJINAGAR, PUNE-411005.

Tel. : (020) 25537363, 64002863. tel. Fax : 25530191.

hmpipune@yahoo.in

हे वीज देयक मालमतेच्या अथवा जापेच्या मालकीपत्राचा पुरावा मानण्यात येऊ नये.

अनधिकृतरीत्या विलांत कोणताही बदल वा दुस्तो करू नये, असे बील स्विकारले जाणार नाही. चुकभूल देणे घेणे, मागील पानावर नमूद केलेल्या अटीस आधीन राहू.



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5921 OF 2022

NARENDER BHARDWAJ

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S 108 SUPER COMPLEX R.W.A. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 9082 OF 2022

O R D E R

1. These appeals are directed against the Judgment and order dated 26.07.2022 passed by the National Green Tribunal, Principal Bench at New Delhi in Original Application No. 419 of 2021, by which the Tribunal has directed the District Magistrate, Ghaziabad and Municipal Corporation, Ghaziabad to remove the construction of a temple and associated structure allegedly raised on the land shown as open space/Park in Sector - 16A, Vasundhara, District Ghaziabad.

2. Facts giving rise to filing of these appeals in a nutshell are that Respondent No.1 filed an application under Section 14 of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010 (in short 'the Act') alleging encroachment and illegal construction of a temple on an area earmarked for park. The Respondent No.1 sought removal of structure along with other consequential directions.

3. The Appellant filed a reply in which it was pleaded that even in the Revised Layout Plan dated 14.07.2004 prepared by Uttar Pradesh Housing Board, the temple has been shown to be in existence. It was denied that the temple either has been constructed on an open land/park or that any area has been encroached upon.

4. The Tribunal by an order dated 26.07.2002, *inter alia*, constituted a Joint Committee comprising all officials of District Administration and other authorities, which inspected the site and submitted its report. On the basis of the aforesaid Report, the Tribunal concluded that the temple is constructed on an open space and the construction was raised sometime in the year 2016. The Tribunal, accordingly, directed removal of the temple and the allied structure. In the aforesaid factual background, these appeals have been filed.

5. Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the order constituting the Committee was passed without issuing any notice to the Appellant. It is also contended that under Section 14 of the Act, the Tribunal has no jurisdiction to pass an order directing removal of an encroachment and, therefore, the impugned order is *per se* without jurisdiction.

6. On the other hand, the learned counsel for Respondent No.1 submitted that the temple has been constructed on the land on an area which is earmarked for the purpose of a park. Our attention has also been invited to the counter affidavit filed by the official Respondents wherein it is pleaded that temple has been constructed on an open land earmarked for the purpose of a park. It is submitted that no interference with the order passed by the Tribunal is called for in these appeals.

7. We have considered the submissions made by both the sides. Section 14 of the Act reads as under :-

*"14. Tribunal to settle disputes -  
(1)The Tribunal shall have the jurisdiction over all civil cases where a substantial question relating to environment (including enforcement of any legal right relating to environment), is involved and such question arises out of the implementation of the enactments specified in Schedule I.*

*(2) The Tribunal shall hear the disputes arising from the questions referred to in sub-section (1) and settle such disputes and pass order thereon.*

*(3) No application for adjudication of dispute under this section shall be entertained by the Tribunal unless it is made within a period of six months from the date on which the cause of action for such dispute first arose:*

*Provided that the Tribunal may, if it is satisfied that the applicant was prevented by sufficient cause from filing the application within the said period, allow it to be filed within a*

*further period not exceeding sixty days."*

8. The jurisdictional fact necessary for invocation of the provisions of the Act is that there must be existence of a substantial question of law relating to environment. The substantial question of law has actually been defined in the Statute under Section 2(m) of the Act, which is extracted as under :-

*"(m) 'substantial question relating to environment' shall include an instance where,*

*(i) there is a direct violation of a specific statutory environmental obligation by a person by which,*

*(A) the community at large other than an individual or group of individuals is affected or likely to be affected by the environmental consequences; or*

*(B) the gravity of damage to the environment or property is substantial; or*

*(C) the damage to public health is broadly measurable;*

*(ii) the environmental consequences relate to a specific activity or a point source of pollution"*

9. Further, the substantial question of law is integrally connected to the Statute specified in Scheduled I, which is extracted as under :-

*"Schedule I of the National Green Tribunal, 2010 lists 7 central environmental laws that define the tribunal's jurisdiction over civil cases involving substantial environmental questions. These acts are crucial for the enforcement of environmental legal rights*

*and granting compensation for damage.  
The 7 acts included in Schedule I are:*

- *The Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974*
- *The Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Cess Act, 1977*
- *The Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980*
- *The Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981*
- *The Environment (Protection) Act, 1986*
- *The Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991*
- *The Biological Diversity Act, 2002"*

10. Thus, under Section 14, the National Green Tribunal has jurisdiction in a case which involves a substantial question of law relating to environment in respect of statutes specified in Schedule I. In the instant case, the Respondent No.1 had invoked the jurisdiction of the Tribunal for removal of an alleged encroachment which according to it, was raised in violation of the Municipal Laws and the provisions of the Town Planning Act. Thus, the conditions precedent for empowering the Tribunal to exercise the jurisdiction under Section 14 of the Act were not fulfilled. The Tribunal, therefore, had no jurisdiction to direct removal of an alleged encroachment and alleged illegal construction which according to Respondent No.1 was raised in violation of the laws not specified in Schedule I to the Act. The impugned order passed by the Tribunal is, therefore, without jurisdiction. It is accordingly

quashed and set aside.

11. However, liberty is reserved to the Residents Welfare Association [RWA] to approach the competent authority seeking redressal of its grievance. Needless to state that no action shall be taken against the Appellants without issuing notice to them and the affected parties.

12. The appeals are, accordingly, disposed of.

13. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

.....J.  
[PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA]

.....J.  
[ALOK ARADHE]

NEW DELHI;  
MARCH 11, 2026.

ITEM NO.25

COURT NO.6

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 5921/2022

NARENDER BHARDWAJ

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S 108 SUPER COMPLEX R.W.A. &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 126747/2022 - EX-PARTE STAY  
IA No. 198673/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.  
IA No. 126748/2022 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL  
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

WITH

C.A. No. 9082/2022 (XVII)

Date : 11-03-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK ARADHEFor Appellant(s) : Mr. Vishnu Shankar Jain, Adv.  
Mr. Mani Munjal, Adv.  
Ms. Marbiang Khongwir, Adv.  
Mr. Parth Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Saurabh Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Umesh Dubey, Adv.  
Ms. Madhulika, Adv.  
Mr. Anand Kumar Rai, Adv.  
Mr. Radeesh Kumar Mt, Adv.  
Mr. Amulya Dev Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Manoj K. Mishra, AORMr. Vishnu Jain, Adv.  
Ms. Divya Jyoti Singh, AOR  
Ms. Mani Munjal, Adv.  
Ms. Marbiang Khongwir, Adv.  
Mr. Parth Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Saurabh Singh, Adv.For Respondent(s) : Mr. Krishna Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Nandani Gupta, Adv.  
Dr. Mrs. Vipin Gupta, AORMr. Dhaval Mehrotra, AOR  
Ms. Aditi Desai, Adv.

Mr. Pradeep Misra, AOR  
Mr. Daleep Dhyani, Adv.  
Mr. Anupam Misra, Adv.  
Mr. Suraj Singh, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Kumar Singh, AOR  
Mr. Malak Manish Bhatt, AOR  
Mr. Avijit Roy, AOR

Mr. Siddhartha Sinha, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. The appeals are disposed of in terms of the signed order.
2. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(NIDHI WASON)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)

